

LPA COMMENT – Gill Mackley CMLI Mackley Davies Associates Ltd 4 June 2026	ACTION TAKEN – read in conjunction with Potterton Associates Ltd Drg No 1143 P 01 REV B
All planting stock size and densities to be shown...	Done. Fully detailed scheme now submitted.
For the very narrow planting beds fronting plots 1-3 the width is to be dimensioned and planting mixes and densities detailed to ensure the key frontage will be successful	Dimensions added and fully detail scheme included.
Planting to the retaining wall although indicative species are listed more detail is needed on size of plants, densities and mixes, again to provide more certainty this will be successful	On manufactures recommendations we have removed pocket planting from within the wall and have replaced it with cascade planting at the top of the wall.
I would not advise the use of prunus spinosa [sloe] on this site, it has very long thorns and readily suckers	Noted and removed.
Rain garden species are not appropriate – aquatic species are shown these require a permanent water depth which will not be available.	Rainwater planting replaced by pond edge seeding from Emorsgate Seeds.
Significant areas of new planting (hedge and shrub) are shown within the root protection areas of TPO'd trees – T35 and T37 at plots 5 and 6; please refer to the Tree Team.	We acknowledge this and have reduced the size of the planted areas accordingly
The red line excludes the lower site but there is a strip of white space between plot 1 and the red line – treatment needs to be clearly shown.	This is an occasional use / maintenance track only. Now shown as grass seeded.
No north point is shown – orientation needs to be clear as the plan is not oriented correctly North-South.	North point added> The drawing is orientated to facilitate the best use of an A1 'landscape' format sheet.
Proposed trees need to be individually located, and nursery stock size stated.	Scheme now fully detailed
Tree pit detail needs review and appears outdated [this appears to indicate a 1m depth of topsoil?]	Amended.
The submitted sections have remained unchanged, but the soft landscape plan now shows a native hedge. It is unclear how this is to be achieved given the space, and particularly as the crib wall is to be in separate plot ownership, how will the hedge be reached in order to	Cribblock wall planting removed. Hedge removed in this area and replaced with cascade planting / low maintenance grass seeding which will require very limited maintenance.

maintain the height given this is potentially 3m above ground level and set behind the sloping crib?	
Unmaintained a native hedge will outgrow to 4m+ so there is a risk of shading existing rear gardens. A maintenance plan for these boundaries should be conditioned but the proposal needs to be bottomed out at this full planning stage	Cribblock wall planting removed. Hedge removed in this area and replaced with cascade planting / low maintenance grass seeding which will require very limited maintenance.
Soft landscape maintenance and management plan. A plan for five years to cover new planting until established and existing trees is required and could be conditioned.	Will be supplied later as part of a condition.